Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/016,291	SHPEISMAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Apu M Mofiz	2165
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>	
(1) <u>Tom Van Zandt</u> .	(3)	
(2) Apu M Mofiz.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 3 February 2005	Time:	·
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1,5,6,11,16,21,26		
Prior art documents discussed: None	•	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
A		
Harling.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	inature – if appropriate)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03) Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Applicant and the Examiner agreed to insert "computer implemented" in the preamble of independent claims and adding "and forwarding a request for new memory and the live reference information to a compiler concurrently" to claims 1,6,21 and 26 to overcome any possible 101 rejection. The Applicant and the Examiner also agreed to fix any other minor informalities with an Examiner's amendment..